## A=G79@@5B9CI G'H9LH"fl 97 : cfa -- Ł

PAGE 1 / 1

NAME OF COMMITTEE (In Full)
Communications Workers of America Working Voices

FEC IDENTIFICATION NUMBER C00488486

Mailing Address 501 Third Street, NW

City Washington State ZIP Code DC 20001

Re:30 Day Post-General Report (10/20/2016 - 11/28/2016)

Jack Baisden Senior Campaign Finance Analyst Reports Analysis Division

Dear Mr. Baisden:

The numeration below corresponds to the numeration in your February 21 letter.

- 1.The notations stating ""In-Kind Received"" were inadvertently included with five entries. These notations are deleted in the amended 30-Day Post General Report that is being filed today, and their entries are being moved to Line 23, with clarifications of the purposes of these disbursements.
- 2. The Committee inadvertently and unintentionally omitted to disclose this independent expenditure for text messages in support of candidate Angela Craig on a 24 Hour Report. The expenditure occurred during a period when the Committee was undertaking, and timely and accurately reporting, many other independent expenditures. These included 24 Hour Reports of other independent expenditures for text messages in support of candidate Craig, whose costs exceeded those that also should have been similarly disclosed. Accordingly, the Committee informed the general public before the election that it was making such independent expenditures in support of her election, if not their complete timing and cost.
- 3 (first). Your letter is incorrect. The October 18 independent expenditure supporting candidate Jason Kander (whose payee was Pivot Group, not Printed Materials), was disclosed on page 22 of the Post-General Report. The two November 5 independent expenditures supporting Ann Kirkpatrick were disclosed on pages 36 and 40 of that report.
- 3 (second). We assume this question concerns the entries on page 15, entry C and page 17, entry A of the report. (It would be far more helpful to committees, and ultimately to the Reports Analysis Division, if RFAI letters always listed the specific entries at issue, rather than compelling the committee to review an often-lengthy report in order to figure out what the RFAI is referring to.) Neither entry reflects an independent expenditure; each instead was a disbursement for public communications that referred only to nonfederal candidates. Today's amended report clarifies this.

Sincerely,

Sara Steffens